

From: Easterling, Deborah
Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2018 10:28 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: The Canceled V C Summer Plant.

Dear Mr. Hajny,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your comments, dated March 24, 2018, regarding Docket No. 2017-305-E.

Your additional Comments will be placed in the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at www.psc.sc.gov.

- Docket No. 2017-305-E – Request of the Office of Regulatory Staff for Rate Relief to South Carolina Electric and Gas Company's Rates Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-27-920

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling
Executive Assistant
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
803-896-5133
Sign up for Meeting Agenda Alerts: Text PSCAGENDAS to 39492

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2018 2:18 PM
To: PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: The Canceled V C Summer Plant.

Greetings,

Greetings. The cancelled V C Summer Plant is neither used nor useful and may not be prudent and as such it should not be in the utility's rate base.

- (1) I want back all the money I have already paid into the cancelled V C Summer Plant;
- (2) I do not want to pay a cent for the plant in the future;
- (3) SCANA can go bankrupt (business failure should not be condoned by bailing out SCANA);
- (4) a bankrupt SCANA will show other businesses that SC makes good, tough decisions that are proper;
- (5) the 1000 or so MW that the V C Summer plant was going to generate will have to be bought on the open power market instead and I will pay for that energy and so why should I pay for the same 1000 MW twice by funding the cancelled Summer Plant;
- (6) the offer by Dominion Energy means nothing to me, Dominion can go away, the \$1000 Dominion promises was coming to SC and me anyways from Toshiba/Westinghouse;
- (7) utilities going bankrupt pains the stockholders but does not damage the customers (for example, look at PG&E of California, Columbia Gas of Ohio, and Electricaribe of the country of Colombia);
- (8) the PSC, the Legislature and the Governor should make a decision in favor of the rate payers, walk away from the Base Load Review Act;
- (9) the stock holders of SCANA and SCE&G should suffer the loss not the rate payers;
- (10) expect that one way or the other the case will end up in the courts.

Do the right thing, and then let the courts decide.

Thanks and regards,

Michael Hajny

[engineering.com](http://www.engineering.com)